



CA DIAC ENLA GEMEN IN FI EFIGHT E

*Findings and Recommendations from Non-Invasive
Identification of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy/
Cardiomegaly in Firefighters*

June 15, 2017



Acknowledgements

Maria Korre, Sc.D.

Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health

Denise Smith, Ph.D.

Skidmore College and Illinois Fire Service Institute

Steven Moatt, M.D.

Public Safety Medical

Stefanos Kales, M.D., M.P.H.

Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health

Funding was provided through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG) program's award EMW-2011-FP-00663 (PI: Dr. S.N. Kales) and EMW-2013-FP-00749 (PI: Dr. D.L. Smith).



Contents

Glossary/Terms	4
Preface/O	5
Executive Summary	6
Background	8
Chapter 1	16
Cardiac Enlargement: Left Ventricular Cardiac Enlargement	
Body Mass Index/A	16
Chapter 2	21
Methodology: Part 1 (Narrative Approach/Interview)	21
Study Population 2: Data Management, OHWA, WAT	23
Chapter 3	24
Cardiac Enlargement: U.S. Firefighters: Part 1: Executive Summary	
Executive Summary, Cardiac Magnetic Resonance/A	24
Chapter 4	28
Executive Summary: Body Mass Index, Left Ventricular Mass, Cardiac Magnetic Resonance	28
Chapter 5	31
Study Population: Firefighters: Research Cardiac Enlargement: Firefighters	31
Study Executive Summary/A	34
Research	35
Study Population/Research: Firefighters	35

List of Acronyms

BMI	Body Mass Index	SCD	Sudden Cardiac Death
CHD	Coronary Heart Disease	ECHO	Echocardiography
CVD	Cardiovascular Disease	CMR	Cardiac Magnetic Resonance
LV	Left ventricular	CRF	Cardiorespiratory Fitness
LVH	Left Ventricular Hypertrophy	OSA	Obstructive Sleep Apnea
LVM	Left Ventricular Mass		



Glossary of Terms

Arrhythmia – irregular heart beat.

Body Surface Area – a measure that reflects that total skin surface area of the human body.

Cardiomegaly – a general term used to describe an enlarged heart; can be caused by various conditions, but often associated with hypertension and coronary artery disease.

Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) – refers to diseases or problems of the heart and/or blood vessels.

Cardiovascular strain – refers to the physiological response of the cardiovascular system to exercise or physical work.

Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) – a narrowing of the blood vessels (coronary arteries) that supply blood and oxygen to the heart tissue; often called coronary artery disease (CAD).

Heart attack – also known as myocardial infarction; occurs when heart's blood supply vessels (coronary arteries) is insufficient preventing the blood supply from reaching the heart.

Left ventricular – largest chamber of the heart responsible for pumping blood out to the brain, other vital organs and the rest of the body.

Left ventricular hypertrophy – a thickening of the heart muscle surrounding the left ventricle, resulting in a greater mass of the heart.

Left ventricular mass index – a measure of left ventricular weight adjusted for body size that derived by dividing LV mass by some a body size parameter (e.g. body surface area, height) to account for physiologic variation related to body size.

Prevalence – a measure to reflect the proportion of a population who has (or had) a specific characteristic in a given time period.

Sudden cardiac death – an unexpected cardiovascular death due to heart attack or arrhythmia, where loss of consciousness occurs abruptly after the onset of symptoms or without warning and death quickly ensues thereafter.

Sudden cardiac event – an unexpected cardiovascular event (stroke, heart attack, heart arrhythmia) that occurs suddenly in a person with or without diagnosed cardiovascular disease. This event may or



Purpose and Objectives

This white paper presents attainable and practical approaches to improve firefighter health and safety targeted to fire service leadership and individual firefighters. This is accomplished through the specific objectives identified below.

Objectives

1. To raise awareness within the fire service about enlargement of the heart (including cardiomegaly and left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy) and how it increases the risk of developing CVD and on-duty SCD; as well as its potential to be treated and reversed if recognized beforehand.
2. To summarize the current challenges we face in the identification of cardiac enlargement in active firefighters according to the method of



Executive Summary

This white paper is based on the background, key findings and recommendations of work sponsored by FEMA (US Department of Homeland Security) to better understand cardiac enlargement in the US fire service. Roughly half (45%) of the nation's line-of-duty firefighter fatalities are due to cardiovascular disease (CVD), and about 45% are due to sudden cardiac death (SCD). These events almost always occur in susceptible individuals with underlying heart problems. Unfortunately, many of these heart problems are not recognized during firefighters' careers or go untreated, and this is particularly true of cardiac enlargement. Firefighter autopsy reports demonstrate that a large majority of firefighters succumbing to SCD had significant narrowing of one or more coronary arteries, consistent with coronary heart disease (CHD), which has been the focus of most CVD research in the fire service. Although less well-known, previous research has also shown that a majority of firefighters dying of SCD have had various forms of cardiac enlargement- usually accompanying CHD, but sometimes as the only or predominant heart abnormality.

Cardiomegaly refers to enlargement of the heart in general as well as to an abnormally heavy heart by weight. **Left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy** is a related term that specifically refers to enlargement (measured either as increased wall thickness or elevated weight) of the left ventricle - the chamber of the heart responsible for pumping blood out to the circulation and vital organs. Both LV hypertrophy

and cardiomegaly are frequently found during the autopsies of persons who died of SCD. LV hypertrophy/cardiomegaly are structural abnormalities of the heart proven to increase the risk of arrhythmias, myocardial infarction, stroke and the risk of death. Cardiac enlargement is a condition, which renders firefighters susceptible to cardiovascular events up to and including SCD.

Despite the longstanding issue of CVD deaths in the fire service and the frequent observation of LV hypertrophy/cardiomegaly in autopsies, very little is known about these conditions in active firefighters. For example, how common is heart enlargement among working firefighters, what are the best methods of measuring its presence, and which firefighters are at highest risk and therefore, should be screened. It is important to note that if recognized beforehand, effective treatments for LV hypertrophy/ cardiomegaly are available. Thus, it is imperative that we find effective ways to screen firefighters at risk for this condition.

This white paper presents the current challenges that we face in accurately defining cardiac enlargement, provides more definitive estimates of how common it is among active career firefighters, and identifies the most important predictors of cardiac enlargement. Lastly, specific recommendations targeted to different important stakeholders are presented in order to decrease the burdens of CVD and SCD in the fire service.

Firefighters from the Indianapolis Fire Department worked together with research scientists, medical doctors and along with local and national fire service leaders in order to make this project a suc-



cess. In this report we provide details on a series of studies we conducted so as to describe how common cardiac enlargement is, in a 400 group of occupationally active career firefighters (from the Indianapolis Fire Department) using different imaging techniques and among a group of 353 fallen firefighters from all across the US who suffered on-duty fatalities due to a traumatic, non-cardiac cause, using autopsy data. In both groups of firefighters we investigated the presence of cardiac enlargement and its relationship to various medical and other factors.

Even though standardization of cardiac enlargement definitions is needed, **our results clearly demonstrate that obesity as measured by body mass index (BMI) drives LV mass, heart weight and LV wall thickness. Therefore, reducing obesity will decrease LV mass, improve CVD risk profiles, and should in turn reduce on-duty CVD events in the fire service, including SCD.**

Our understanding of sudden cardiac events and cardiovascular disease in the fire service has greatly and rapidly increased over the past 15 years due to a shared sense of mission in reducing duty-related cardiac deaths by researchers, fire service representatives, and funding agencies. **But, knowledge alone cannot bring about the needed results. Action is required— recommendations must be actionable, then, adopted and finally, implemented. Adoption of the actionable, evidence-based recommendations in this and previous reports will help the US fire service make significant strides toward reducing duty-related cardiac deaths, with a reduction of at least 30% within reach.**

BMI drives heart weight, left ventricular mass and wall thickness.



Background

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of on-duty death among US firefighters and an important and costly cause of morbidity. CVD causes about 50% of firefighters' on-duty deaths and, as in the general population, these cardiovascular events are largely due to coronary heart disease (CHD), with Sudden Cardiac Death (SCD) causing 45% of line-of-duty fatalities.¹⁻⁴ There is also an in-



Enlargement of the heart is considered a structural abnormality of the heart^{17,18} and appears to be a key pen-ultimate and predisposing step on the causal pathway that makes a firefighter susceptible to CVD events (Figure 1- orange star).^{1,19,20}

Cardiomegaly refers to enlargement of the heart in general as well as to an abnormally heavy heart by weight. Most pathologists consider a heart weight above 450 g in a man and 390 g in a woman to be abnormally heavy or consistent with cardiomegaly. Left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy is a related term that specifically refers to enlargement of the left ventricle or the chamber of the heart responsible for pumping blood out to the circulation and vital organs. LV hypertrophy is usually defined as an increased thickness of the left ventricular wall as measured by a pathologist at autopsy or estimated by an imaging technique, or an elevated LV mass or weight of the left ventricle as measured by various imaging techniques. The two most frequently used non-invasive, imaging modalities for the assessment of LV wall and LV mass have been echocardiography (ECHO) and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR).²¹

Defining the precise cut-off for defining LV hypertrophy is difficult because the value is affected by many parameters, including body size and imaging technique. Furthermore, physical exercise training can increase wall thickness in a way that is generally thought to be healthy or positive. The general consensus is that a wall thickness greater than 1.2 cm is usually an indication of unhealthy LV hypertrophy.

Cardiac enlargement is a key predisposing step on the causal pathway that makes a firefighter susceptible to CVD events.

ECHO and CMR rely on different technologies and use different algorithms for the assessment of LV mass, providing different average values along with different degrees of precision.^{22,23,24} The differences between these methods can make the distinctions between disease states and normality harder to make.²⁵ Evidence suggests an improved prognostic value, when LV hypertrophy is based on the most accurate prediction of LV mass. Both LV mass and heart size increase in proportion to the overall body size and thus differ by gender, with higher values seen in men.^{25,26,27} Therefore, body size parameters should be considered to normalize myocardial mass, minimizing the effect of body size in the population distribution.²¹ An LV mass “index” is derived by dividing LV mass with height, or by body surface area (BSA), or by comparing it to a reference group of healthy subjects. Height indexing seems to be the most sensitive in identifying obesity-related LV hypertrophy that is associated with CVD events and all-cause death.



LV hypertrophy and cardiomegaly have been widely recognized to increase the risk of lethal ventricular arrhythmias, myocardial infarction and stroke; and are proven to predict CVD and overall mortality in the general population. Unfortunately, these conditions have not been adequately researched in the fire service.²⁸⁻³² Current evidence from autopsies on firefighters who succumbed to SCD have shown LV hypertrophy to be common among US firefighters, often co-morbid with CHD and to play a major role in CVD events and SCD risk in the fire service. In fact, the majority of CVD death victims (60-76%) suffered from LV hypertrophy/cardiomegaly, which was usually unrecognized before death.^{33,34,35}

Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) Risk Factors

- *Non-modifiable:*
 - *Age*
 - *Sex*
 - *Family history*
- *Modifiable:*
 - *Hypertension*
 - *Overweight/Obesity*
 - *Dyslipidemia*
 - *Diabetes*
 - *Physical inactivity*
 - *Physical Fitness*
 - *Smoking*
 - *Diet*
 - *Sleep quantity/quality*
 - *Stress/Resiliency*



Figure 1. Conceptual Model of CVD in the Fire Service: Risk Factors, Progression (left to right) and Triggering of Events (right) in Susceptible Firefighters. Modified from Soteriades et al. (1).



1. Soteriades ES, Smith DL, Tsismenakis AJ, Baur DM, Kales SN. Cardiovascular disease in US firefighters: a systematic review. *Cardiology in Review*. Jul-Aug 2011;19(4):202-215.
2. Smith DL, Barr DA, Kales SN. Extreme sacrifice: sudden cardiac death in the US Fire Service. *Extreme physiology & medicine*. 2013;2(1):6.
3. Fahy R, LeBlanc P, Molis J. Firefighter Fatalities in the United States 2011. *National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA*. 2012.
4. Fahy R. U.S. Firefighter Fatalities Due to Sudden Cardiac Death, 1995-2004. *National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, MA*. 2005(99):44-47.
5. Kreger BE, Cupples LA, Kannel WB. The electrocardiogram in prediction of sudden death: Framingham Study experience. *American Heart Journal*. Feb 1987;113(2 Pt 1):377-382.
6. Perper JA, Kuller LH, Cooper M. Arteriosclerosis of coronary arteries in sudden, unexpected deaths. *Circulation*. Dec 1975;52(6 Suppl):III27-33.
- 7.





25. Dewey FE, Rosenthal D, Murphy DJ Jr, Froelicher VF, Ashley EA. Does size matter? Clinical applications of scaling cardiac size and function for body size. *Circulation*. 2008; 117: 2279-2287.
26. Kawel-Boehm N, Maceira A, Valsangiacomo-Buechel ER, et al. Normal values for cardiovascular magnetic resonance in adults and children. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance: Official Journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2015; 17: 29.
27. Brumback LC, Kronmal R, Heckbert SR, et al. Body size adjustments for left ventricular mass by cardiovascular magnetic resonance and their impact on left ventricular hypertrophy classification. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010; 26: 459-468.
28. James MA, Jones JV. Ventricular arrhythmia in untreated newly presenting hypertensive patients compared with matched normal population. *J Hypertens*. May 1989;7(5):409-415.
29. Levy D, Garrison RJ, Savage DD, Kannel WB, Castelli WP. Prognostic implications of echocardiographically determined left ventricular mass in the Framingham Heart Study. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. May 31 1990;322(22):1561-1566.
30. Haider AW, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Increased left ventricular mass and hypertrophy are associated with increased risk for sudden death. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. Nov 1998;32(5):1454-1459.
31. Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Why is left ventricular hypertrophy so predictive of morbidity and mortality? *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences*. Mar 1999;317(3):168-175.
32. Bauml MA, Underwood DA. Left ventricular hypertrophy: an overlooked cardiovascular risk factor. *Cleve Clin J Med*. Jun 2010;77(6):381-387.
33. Soteriades ES, Targino MC, Talias MA, et al. Obesity and risk of LVH and ECG abnormalities in US firefighters. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine / American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. Aug 2011;53(8):867-871.
34. Geibe JR, Holder J, Peeples L, Kinney AM, Burrell JW, Kales SN. Predictors of on-duty coronary events in male firefighters in the United States. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. Mar 1 2008;101(5):585-589.
35. Kales SN, Soteriades ES, Christoudias SG, Christiani DC. Firefighters and On-Duty Deaths from Coronary Heart Disease: a Case Control Study. *Environmental Health: A Global Access Science Source*. 2003; 2(1):14.
36. Georgiopoulou VV, Kalogeropoulos AP, Raggi P, Butler J. Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of hypertensive heart disease. *Cardiology Clinics*. Nov 2010;28(4):675-691.



37.



In light of the amazing advances in imaging techniques available to visualize the heart, it should be easy to measure heart size or weight – but, the truth is, there are multiple challenges to determining accurate size and weight, and even more challenges associated with knowing what size is healthy and what size is pathological (unhealthy) in a given individual.

Evidence suggests an improved prognostic value



for its assessment. The two most frequently used non-invasive, imaging modalities for the assess-



1.



11. Gidding SS. Controversies in the assessment of left ventricular mass. *Hypertension*. Jul 2010;56(1):26-28.
12. Okin PM, Devereux RB, Jern S, et al. Regression of electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy by losartan versus atenolol: The Losartan Intervention for Endpoint reduction in Hypertension (LIFE) Study. *Circulation*. Aug 12 2003;108(6):684-690.
13. de Simone G, Devereux RB, Daniels SR, Koren MJ, Meyer RA, Laragh JH. Effect of growth on variability of left ventricular mass. *N Engl J Med*. 1991;325:1068-1075.



22. Hendel RC, Patel MR, Kramer CM, et al. ACCF/ACR/SCCT/SCMR/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/SIR 2006 appropriateness criteria for cardiac computed tomography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Quality Strategic Directions Committee Appropriateness Criteria Working Group, American College of Radiology, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, North American Society for Cardiac Imaging, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Interventional Radiology. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. Oct 3 2006;48(7):1475-1497.
23. Dewey FE, Rosenthal D, Murphy DJ, Jr., Froelicher VF, Ashley EA. Does size matter? Clinical applications of scaling cardiac size and function for body size. *Circulation*. Apr 29 2008;117(17):2279-2287.
24. Gidding SS. Controversies in the assessment of left ventricular mass. *Hypertension*. Jul 2010;56(1):26-28.
25. Estes NA, 3rd, Kovacs RJ, Baggish AL, Myerburg RJ. Eligibility and Disqualification Recommendations for Competitive Athletes with Cardiovascular Abnormalities: Task Force 11: Drugs and Performance-Enhancing Substances: A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology. *Circulation*. 2015; 132: e330-333.
26. Vanhaebost J, Faouzi M, Mangin P, Michaud K. New reference tables and user-friendly Internet application for predicted heart weights. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. Jul 2014;128(4):615-620.
27. Mandal R, Loefer AG, Salamat S, Fritsch MK. Organ weight changes associated with body mass index determined from a medical autopsy population. *The American journal of forensic medicine and pathology*. Dec 2012;33(4):382-389.
28. James WP. WHO recognition of the global obesity epidemic. *International Journal of Obesity (2005)*. Dec 2008;32 Suppl 7:S120-126.
29. Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM. Criteria for definition of overweight in transition: background and recommendations for the United States. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. Nov 2000;72(5):1074-1081.
30. -



Chapter 2

Methods – Study Population 1 (Non-Invasive Assessment/ Imaging)

Male career firefighters, aged 18 years and older were recruited from the Indianapolis Fire Department. Eligible firefighters had no restrictions on duty and had a recorded fire department-sponsored medical exam in the last two years that included a submaximal exercise tolerance test.

From those eligible (n=1059), we selected at random a total of 400 participants, as follows: 100



Definitions of Cardiac Enlargement (Non-Invasive Assessment/Imaging)

LV mass was assessed by both ECHO and cardiac MRI (CMR) imaging. First, a transthoracic cardiac echocardiogram was done as a simple two-dimensional (2-D) study with limited m-mode recordings. The echocardiogram is a type of ultrasound test that uses sound waves that are sent through a device called a transducer. The device picks up echoes of the sound waves as they bounce off the different parts of the heart and then these echoes are turned into moving pictures of the heart that can be seen on a video screen. The transthoracic cardiac echo is the most common type, where the views of the heart are obtained by moving the transducer to different locations on the chest or abdominal wall.

An abbreviated CMR was also performed as “function only” immediately after the ECHO. A CMR is a

painless imaging test that uses radio waves, magnets, and a computer to create detailed pictures of the heart. CMR can provide detailed information on the type and severity of heart disease to help decide the best way to treat heart problems such as coronary heart disease, heart valve problems, pericarditis, cardiac tumors, or damage from a heart attack. Board certified specialists performed the clinical interpretation of imaging.

LV mass was adjusted in standard fashion for body size by calculating an index. Three sets of commonly used indices were derived, by dividing LV mass in grams with either body surface area that was estimated with the Mosteller formula (in meters²) or body height to the powers of 1.7 and 2.7 (in meters^{1.7} and meters^{2.7}, respectively).

Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk Factors (Non-Invasive Assessment/ Imaging)

- Height and weight were measured using standard and consistent clinical practices.
- BMI was calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters.
- Blood pressure was measured using an appropriately sized cuff with the subject in the seated position.
- Heart rate and blood pressure were obtained in a resting state from the physical examination.
- Medical exam data were further supplemented by a questionnaire that was administered before the imaging studies. The survey collected comprehensive information on smoking status, personal history of heart rhythm problems, family history of cardiac problems and moderate to vigorous physical activity level in minutes per week.
- High risk of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) was assessed using a well-known, validated and commonly used instrument, the Berlin Questionnaire.



Chapter 3

Cardiac Enlargement in U.S. Firefighters:



population and thus anthropometric parameters should be considered to normalize LV mass, minimizing the effect of body size in the population distribution. Therefore, an LVmass “index” is usually derived by dividing LV mass with a body size parameter (i.e. body surface area, height) and then LV hypertrophy is defined by an LVmass “index” greater than some specified cutoff value.^{1,2,3} Definitions may vary by the method used (ECHO or CMR) or by the method (unit) of reporting and the body

size parameter used to create the index. In fact,

Table 1. LV hypertrophy prevalence estimates by ECHO and CMR.

Imaging Technique	Ranges of prevalence estimates (%)
ECHO	3.3-32.8
CMR	0.0–5.3

In the second group of data, LV hypertrophy and cardiomegaly estimates were based on the numerical values provided in the autopsy reports of the non-cardiac traumatic fatalities are presented

in Table 2. Cardiomegaly was defined as a heart weight greater than 450 grams and LV hypertrophy was defined as a LV wall thickness greater than 1.2 cm.

Table 2. LV hypertrophy & cardiomegaly prevalence estimates among non-cardiac traumatic fatalities.

Condition	Prevalence estimates (%)
Cardiomegaly	33.8
LV hypertrophy	41.5



Conclusions & Discussion

The present findings in US firefighters demonstrate great variability of the prevalence estimates of LV hypertrophy within and between ECHO and CMR, according to the different criteria utilized. Considerable variance was also observed using direct measures at autopsy, again, depending on the criteria used. Autopsy findings clearly indicated that BMI was a major determinant of heart weight. Prevalence estimates of LV hypertrophy were considerable, for example LV hypertrophy was present at 17.5% of the active career firefighters when using ECHO and indexing to height comparing to 33.8% prevalence based on the autopsies measurements. Although CMR is considered to be the gold standard among imaging techniques, the prevalence estimates of cardiac enlargement currently observed seemed unrealistically low, especially when compared to direct measures at autopsy in a similar firefighter population. Given the great variance in LV mass and LV hypertrophy estimates, surprisingly, average LV wall thickness was similar across both imaging techniques and at autopsies.

Among traumatic deaths, where any possible contribution of cardiac pathology to the death was reasonably excluded, as many as 40% demonstrated cardiomegaly while up to 42% presented LV hypertrophy. This can be explained in large part by the high prevalence of obesity that was documented among those non-cardiac traumatic controls.

In addition our findings suggested the presence of cardiac enlargement to be steadily increasing as a function of BMI. Our findings are consistent with the literature, which finds obesity to be a significant risk factor for LV hypertrophy and increased cardiac mass.^{4,5} Furthermore, one could hypothesize that traumatic fatalities occur more frequently in obese firefighters as they could be more inclined to be physically trapped during a fire secondary to their body size and relative physical immobility.⁶ In fact, the average BMI of the non-cardiac fatalities was higher than that of the active firefighter study base population (31.2 vs. 30.3) and that previously reported for representative, population-based firefighter samples (28.6 for career firefighters).⁷

To the best of our knowledge, our current study is the first to evaluate the status of cardiac enlargement among active career firefighters by both ECHO and CMR measurements based on different criteria, as well as the first to compare these estimates to those derived from non-cardiac traumatic fatalities. We previously found the prevalence of cardiomegaly to be 22% in a smaller sample of non-cardiac, traumatic firefighter autopsies limited to those under the age of 45 years of age.⁶ Our estimates for these age groups were similar, 27% and 24% for those under the age of 35 and 45 years of age respectively. Having access not only to data from active career firefighters, but also to autopsy reports from non-cardiac traumatic fatalities, we relied on quantitative data to estimate the prevalence of cardiac enlargement in the US fire service via several different methods and get a more holistic picture.



Chapter 4

Effect of Body Mass Index on Left Ventricular Mass in Career Male Firefighters

This chapter presents the key findings from the imaging studies and, thus, identifies the most important predictors of LV mass after indexing for height among career male firefighters as assessed by both ECHO and CMR.

Findings

When assessing the ability of each CVD risk factor to separately predict the LV mass index values, resting systolic blood pressure, hypertension, high risk of OSA, low cardiorespiratory fitness and BMI were each strong (statistically sig-

nificant) predictors. However, when all significant CVD risk factors were considered together at the same time, BMI was the only consistent and statistically significant predictor of LV mass index values for across all ECHO and CMR measurements and indices. Specifically, we found that a 1-unit increase in BMI was associated with 1 unit (g/m^{1.7}) increase in the most commonly used LV mass index (g/ height in meters^{1.7}) even after also considering age, hypertension, obstructive sleep apnea risk and cardiorespiratory fitness (table 3).



This study of active U.S. career firefighters using ECHO and CMR measurements found BMI to be the strongest and most consistent independent predictor of LV mass index values. Therefore, our findings, in line with previous studies of the general population^{1,2}, support BMI as a major determinant of LV mass.

Given the epidemic level of obesity in the US fire



References

1. Soteriades ES, Targino MC, Talias MA, Hauser R, Kawachi I, Christiani DC, Kales SN. Obesity and risk of Listiani Dsk



Chapter 5

Summary of Findings and Recommendations to Reduce Cardiac Enlargement in the Fire Service

In the second group of data, LV hypertrophy and cardiomegaly estimates were based on the numerical values. The goals of this work were:

1. to evaluate cardiac enlargement (including LV hypertrophy) and assess how the different non-invasive screening as well as forensic methods and reference ranges can affect distinctions between disease states and normality;
2. to provide more definitive prevalence estimates of cardiac enlargement among US firefighters; and
3. to identify the most significant clinical predictors of LV mass in this special occupational cohort.

Our findings provide important insights on the most appropriate method of assessing cardiac enlargement among the US firefighters. **The most important finding of our study is that BMI is an independent predictor and a major driver of LV mass, heart weight and LV wall thickness. Thus, significant reductions in on-duty CVD events could be realized by reducing obesity in the US fire service.**

Let's together lead the way forward to improve health & employment outcomes among US Firefighters.

Our understanding of CVD in the fire service has greatly increased over the past 15 years, with an increasing recognition in the role of cardiac enlargement. Although additional research is required to address remaining questions, this should not slow efforts to act on what we currently know. It is critical that we take aggressive steps to prevent and manage CVD to reduce cardiac-related deaths and disability in the fire service. To make significant progress, participation and buy-in at all levels is essential. Therefore, we have presented recommendations for reducing the risk of CVD, and specifically addressing obesity, that are targeted at different groups in the fire service as well as recommendations for future research in the field.

provided in the autopsy reports of the non-cardiac traumatic fatalities are presented in Table 2. Cardiomegaly was defined as a heart weight greater than 450 grams and LV hypertrophy was defined as a LV wall thickness greater than 1.2 cm.



Firefighters

Firefighters are ultimately the ones who must make the changes to reduce CVD in the fire service. Making these changes will require taking personal responsibility for one's health as well as adherence to policy and procedures. Firefighters must take an active role in reducing their risk for CVD. Based on current understanding of research, firefighters should:

1. Maintain a high level of physical fitness
2. Obtain an annual physical, even if it is not provided by your department
3. Routinely monitor blood pressure and control hypertension if present
4. Maintain/take steps to reach a healthy weight
5. Avoid tobacco use
6. Eat a healthy diet – consider the Mediterranean Diet as one proven to decrease CVD and cancer risk
7. Avoid excessive use of alcohol
8. Get adequate sleep
9. In the presence of Obstructive Sleep Apnea, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) can produce reductions in LV hypertrophy.
10. In the presence of hypertension, anti-hypertensive drugs and particularly, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors can produce echocardiographically-confirmed regression of LV hypertrophy.

Fire Department – Company Officer/Crew Boss

Company officers/crew bosses have a position of great influence and should act as intermediaries to reinforce existing policies and facilitate policy

touoateez6 Tw cers drm0.5 (ree Departicieci/Crew5nd facitL)90reaa h3ng OdaEy Tw 0 -1.5 T/Cr5



Fire Service Leadership – National Organizations

National organizations play a key role in promoting health and wellness in the fire service. These organizations influence legislation and policy decisions and set the national priorities for the fire service. Given previous findings that obesity-associated SCD among firefighters was largely driven by an increased cardiac mass in SCD victims compared to controls, our results show that decreasing obesity in the fire service will improve firefighters' cardiovascular risk profiles, including their risk of LV hypertrophy and significantly reduce on-duty CVD events, particularly SCD. Moreover, our data shows that BMI is a major driver of LV mass, heart weight and LV wall thickness. Our data also indicates that LV mass accounts for a greater proportion of total heart weight in diseased hearts compared to controls. Taken collectively our work indicates that obese firefighters are at increased risk for enlarged hearts and at greater risk for sudden cardiac events. This suggests that targeted noninvasive screening for LV hypertrophy is appropriate for obese firefighters.

Based on current understanding of research, it is recommended that fire service leaders take the following steps to prevent and manage CVD in the fire service:

1. Require pre-placement medical evaluations
2. Require annual medical evaluations
3. Require return to work evaluations
4. Implement physical fitness programs
5. Implement comprehensive wellness programs
6. Implement targeted screening for LV hypertrophy and cardiomegaly for obese firefighters, as well as those with uncontrolled or chronic hypertension or obstructive sleep apnea



Strategies to Encourage Adoption of Recommendations

The recommendations presented above are both practical and attainable, albeit not without challenges. To effect change, recommendations must be adopted, and there are always obstacles that must be overcome in the process.

Strategies to ensure that firefighters receive education/counseling about medical conditions following a medical evaluation. That is, what can be done to ensure that firefighters are not simply being cleared for duty (i.e., how can a medical evaluation be kept from simply being a “cleared for duty” checklist that indicates everything is ok?)

- *Individual counseling after evaluation.*
- *Personal accountability.* Designate a member of the department to whom firefighters must report to ensure that firefighters are imple-



Resources to help adopt/implement recommendations

1. IAFF/IAFC WFI Resource: <http://bit.ly/1KSBwy0>
2. U.S. Fire Administration Health and Wellness Guide for the Volunteer Fire and Emergency Services: <http://bit.ly/2rvu11l>
3. NVFC Heart-Healthy Firefighter Program: <http://bit.ly/2seR8dG>
4. The 16 Firefighter Life Safety Initiatives: <http://bit.ly/2stcnL5>
5. American Heart Association web resources: <http://bit.ly/IO8ENf>
6. NFPA Standards: 1500, 1582, 1583, and 1584

Suggestions/ Recommendations for Future Research

We believe that future studies are needed to validate the assessment of cardiac enlargement (including LV hypertrophy), and to identify the reference values that would be most appropriate for US firefighters considering the special CVD risk profiles of this unique occupational cohort. Moreover, future forensic studies are needed to directly compare total cardiac mass to left ventricular mass, in order to establish the relationship between the two. In this regard, national fire service organizations should advocate for LV mass to be measured at firefighter autopsies (cardiac and non-cardiac)

to empower us to find more precise screening definitions for high risk based on LV mass. Finally, we suggest that future prospective studies of CVD



NATIONAL FALLEN FIREFIGHTERS FOUNDATION

WWW.FIREHERO.ORG

EMMITSBURG

PO DRAWER 498
EMMITSBURG, MD 21727
301-447-1365
301-447-1645 (FAX)

CROFTON

2130 PRIEST BRIDGE DRIVE, SUITE 6
CROFTON, MD 21114
410-721-6212
410-721-6213 (FAX)